### Converting Old To Modern Fortran

Nick Maclaren

**Computing Service** 

nmm1@cam.ac.uk, ext. 34761

July 2009

#### Introduction

See "Introduction to Fortran Conversion"

This does NOT teach the new features See "Introduction to Modern Fortran" Even then, most details are only in books

This describes only what can be done Starting from a correct Fortran 90 program Real junk in "Fortran Archaeology"

#### What Have We Here?

• Things to take advantage of modern features Mostly for "software engineering" Clarity, maintainability, error checking etc.

No old code will break in forseeable future Old code may not mean what you expect So cleaning up those aspects is good

Remember to balance gain against pain
 We shall cover a LOT of points
 Just note the things that you want to change

### Reminder: Tools

f2f90 will do a few of them And others are easy using Python or Perl

Avoid doing manual edits if you can

Contact me if you have a conversion problem

### PARAMETER (1)

INTEGER fortytwo DATA fortytwo /42/

• If read-only, this can be replaced by:

INTEGER, PARAMETER :: fortytwo = 42

Now can't write to it by accident
Makes it easier for compiler to optimise
PARAMETER arrays may be more efficient

### PARAMETER (2)

PARAMETER defines a true constant
Can be used anywhere a constant can be
KIND=, CASE, initialisation, array bounds
No performance degradation in sane compilers

Enables a lot of cleaning up
 Reduces problems with finger trouble
 And pre-editing hacks in build scripts

### Recursion

Fortran 90 allows it – like DEC and Egtran You must declare procedures RECURSIVE

Can clean up some old, horrible hacks E.g. unnecessarily duplicated procedures

- Otherwise don't bother about it
- Check if necessary libraries use/allow it

#### Procedure/Data Interfaces

Not just INTERFACE, but interfaces generally Lots of improvements in Fortran 90 Much better error checking and ease of use

Probably most important improved area

Accounted for half of bugs in Fortran 77 Similar experience with many C codes

Fortran 90 can catch most such errors

### Modules Are The Key

- Everything depends on modules
   Used to encapsulate declarations
- Design your modules carefully
   As the ultimate top-level structure
   Perhaps only a few, perhaps dozens
   Dependency graph shows visibility/usage
- Good place for high-level comments
   Please document purpose and interfaces

#### What is a Module

Bundles definitions/interfaces into a unit

Similar to same term in other languages

Includes its static data definitions
And exported procedure interfaces
Actual code not part of module interface

Files may contain several modules Modules may be split across many files

But, in simplest use, keep them 1≡1

#### Module Structure

#### **MODULE** name

Static (often exported) data definitions CONTAINS

Procedure definitions and interfaces END MODULE name

Code may be included, or may be external

#### PUBLIC/PRIVATE

Can separate exported from hidden definitions

Fairly easy to use in simple cases

Worth considering when designing modules

No more details here, as largely new facility In simplest uses, just does what you expect

### Replace COMMON

Data modules are cleaner form of COMMON BLOCK DATA becomes initialisation

Then just USE the module – much clearer

A trivial change in clean code
 The simplest use of modules possible

May extend module by moving code in there E.g. auxiliary routines for that data

# **COMMON** Example

INTEGER :: count, array(1000) COMMON /awful/ count, array

Make a file (say awful.f90) containing:

MODULE awful INTEGER :: count, array(1000) END MODULE awful

**USE** awful

### **COMMON And SAVE**

 Named COMMON did not imply SAVE But many programs assumed it And compilers usually implemented it

May need to add SAVE attributes

 Worth thinking whether you do E.g. scratch space does not need it There can be an efficiency cost

### Explicit Interfaces (1)

Full declaration of procedure types
Not just result, but arguments, properties etc.
Like Algol 68, Pascal, ISO C, but more so

All calls have all properties known
 Give much better error checking
 Allow use of many other new features

### Interface Example

SUBROUTINE fred (array, opt, err)
USE double
REAL(KIND=FP) :: array(:)
REAL, INTENT(IN) :: opt
INTEGER, INTENT(OUT) :: err

# Explicit Interfaces (2)

Automatic if procedures in modules
Or if calling internal procedures
I.e. any procedures following CONTAINS

These are only fully secure methods

Also simplest – start with these

Can have separate interface modules Or include interface declarations

No examples given of this sort of use

#### Internal Procedures

#### **PROGRAM** name

Static (often exported) data definitions CONTAINS

Procedure definitions and interfaces END PROGRAM name

Also in SUBROUTINE and FUNCTION
But not in internal procedures themselves!

### Separate Interfaces

May need to generate interfaces
Needed for multi-module mutual recursion
And when defining interfaces for non-Fortran
Including Fortran 77 libraries as binary

Actual code in separate file (as Fortran 77)

- It is NOT checked against interface
- Do it by f2f90 (or NAGWare), not by hand Except for binary libraries and non-Fortran!

### Keyword/Optional Arguments

Can simplify and clarify long lists
Often merge many procedures into one

- Don't rush into this one, though
   Spend time on designing such interfaces
   Choosing the right defaults can be tricky
- KISS Keep It Simple, Stupid!
- Be careful when merging procedures
   Don't forget to cross-check interactions

### Keyword Example

SUBROUTINE fred (this, that, the, other) REAL:: this, that, the, other

• • •

CALL fred(that=3,this=2,other=1,the=4)

Don't have to remember the order of long lists CALL fred(2,3,4,1)

# Simple Use Of OPTIONAL

- Use OPTIONAL for setting defaults only On entry, check and copy ALL args Use ONLY local copies thereafter Now, all variables well defined when used
- Can do the converse for optional results
   Just before returning, check and copy back
- Beyond this should be done only by experts

# OPTIONAL Example (1)

```
FUNCTION fred (alf, bert)
REAL :: fred, alf, mybert
REAL, OPTIONAL, INTENT(IN) :: bert
IF (PRESENT(bert)) THEN
    mybert = bert
ELSE
    mybert = 0.0
ENDIF
```

Now use mybert in rest of procedure

# OPTIONAL Example (2)

```
SUBROUTINE fred (alf, bert)
REAL :: alf
REAL, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: bert
...
IF (PRESENT(bert)) bert = ...
END SUBROUTINE fred
```

### **FUNCTION** Definitions

```
<type> FUNCTION fred (...)
```

Fortran is giving up on this form
 Too many new facilities to bolt on

```
FUNCTION fred (...) <type>, <attributes> :: fred
```

#### Precisions etc.

Currently, need to use double precision
 But will start to need 64-bit integers
 Already needed for most serious SMP codes
 Then WON'T want it, by default
 Been the case on Cray systems for some time

This is what I recommend
Will future-proof your code
Also describe currently adequate solution

#### Define Module

```
MODULE precision
   INTEGER, PARAMETER :: FP = &
   SELECTED_REAL_KIND(14,200)
END MODULE precision
Currently OK (except on Cray):
MODULE precision
   INTEGER, PARAMETER :: FP = KIND(0.0D0)
END MODULE precision
```

**Best solution:** 

#### IMPLICIT NONE etc.

 Add to every module, procedure, interface: USE precision IMPLICIT NONE

Forces declaration of almost everything

Picks up a LOT of stupid mistakes

Following is allowed but NOT recommended: IMPLICIT <type> (<letter>-<letter>)

### **Using Precisions**

```
REAL(KIND=FP) :: <declarations>
REAL(FP) :: <declarations>
COMPLEX(KIND=FP) :: <declarations>
```

- Add '\_FP' to all floating constants
   Don't leave hostages to fortune . . .
- Do this using NAGWare or your own tool
   Very error-prone when done manually

### Warning: Constants

```
REAL(), PARAMETER :: pi = <what?>
```

#### None of the following work:

```
... = 4.0D0*ATAN(1.0D0)
```

... = 3.14159265358979323846

 $... = M_PI$  [On Linux using cpp]

#### The following does:

```
... = 3.14159265358979323846_FP
```

#### Make Functions Generic

Most intrinsic functions are now generic
Can change precision and even type easily
BUT you can't pass them as actual arguments

- Change old, specific names to generic
   See section 13.6 of Fortran 2003 standard
   Clean up any uses of INTRINSIC or EXTERNAL
- Write wrappers if passed as arguments (rare)

### Type Conversion

Most painful part of generic intrinsics

CMPLX is a major trap for the unwary
 MUST specify KIND parameter when using
 Assuming use of non-default REAL (as above)

REAL, DBLE  $\Rightarrow$  REAL(...,KIND=FP) CMPLX  $\Rightarrow$  CMPLX(...,KIND=FP)

INT is usually safe enough
 Default is conventionally OK for array indexing

#### **Current Shortcuts**

If (and ONLY if) using FP = KIND(0.0D0):

Can use 'D' as exponent letter
... pi = 3.14159265358979323846D0

 $REAL \Rightarrow DBLE$ 

Not future-proof, but OK for a few years . . . except on Cray . . .

### **Argument Passing**

Major gotchas in Fortran in this area
It predates usual value/pointer model
It associates actual and dummy arguments
Expressions are stored in a hidden temporary

E.g. a COMMON variable as an argument And then updated in COMMON during call Effect is undefined – anything may happen

### Other Association

 Also applies if imported or in COMMON Any two "names" for one location

Import/export is very like argument passing

- Be very careful exporting imports
- Don't play games with renaming in USE
   Watch out for EQUIVALENCE see later

# Read-Only Dummy Arguments

- In Fortran 90, use INTENT(IN)
  Unfortunately, only protects against writing
- In Fortran 2003, consider VALUE Will take a copy of argument if needed Generally, not a good idea for arrays

Some existing codes will take a copy on entry An old, adequate (but not fully safe) defence Usually protects against it changing

# Dummy Argument Example

```
SUBROUTINE fred (a, b)
REAL :: a
REAL, INTENT(IN), VALUE :: b
a = a * b + b
```

Following now becomes legal – hurrah!

```
REAL :: x = 1.23 CALL fred (x, x)
```

# Passing Objects Twice (1)

Always safe if all uses are read-only
 See above for how to declare that

Disjoint array sections are distinct variables Array elements are distinct if different

Using array sections is clean, but check for copy CALL FRED(WORK(1:N), WORK(N+1:2\*N))

Older method unclean but OK, IF within bounds CALL FRED(WORK, WORK(N+1))

# Passing Objects Twice (2)

Beyond that, here be dragons . . . Similar to storage association (see later)

Avoid this if at all possible

If ANY use MIGHT update it and there is a non-VALUE argument

- Make sure array sections are disjoint
- Force scalars to be copied (Fortran 77/90/95)

# Forcing A Copy

REAL :: x = 1.23

CALL fred (x, real(x, kind=kind(x)))

CALL fred (x, x+0.0)

y = xCALL fred (x, y)

### An Old Construction

```
INTEGER WORK(N)
CALL FRED(..., WORK, WORK, WORK)
SUBROUTINE FRED (..., WI, WR, WC)
INTEGER WI(*)
REAL WR(*)
COMPLEX WC(*)
```

Used for storage management in Fortran 77

Use local workspace arrays, ALLOCATE etc.

# Whole Array Operations

Almost always much clearer and shorter
 Simpler code makes tuning much easier
 Efficient implementation isn't always easy

Should be more efficient than DO-loops Sometimes the converse, so check if necessary

• Don't force the compiler to take copies Watch out for unnecessary copying, too Unfortunately, look for memory leaks, too

# **Tuning Array Operations**

KISS - Keep It Simple, Stupid!

Greatest gain is to move up one level

Replace sections by BLAS or LAPACK
 Especially MATMUL ⇒ xGEMM and up

Experts can do more with DO-loops More control of space, ordering etc.

Don't rewrite well-tuned DO-loops

# Array Examples

```
REAL :: A(:,:), B(:,:), C(:,:)
```

No compiler should copy anything

A = B\*C/SUM(A)

A = MATMUL(B,C)

A = A+MATMUL(B,C)! It needn't, but . . .

A = MATMUL(A,B)! Almost certainly a copy

# Assumed-Shape Arrays

Can replace explicit shape or assumed size args Except where bounds are absolute!

- Much more flexible, may be more efficient
- Replace passing array elements by sectioning
- Avoid conversion TO explicit/assumed size Usually forces copying of the section Watch out for compilers copying unnecessarily

# Assumed-Shape Implementation

• Older methods need pass only pointer Almost required to be address of first element Bounds are fixed, passed explicitly, or similar Essentially same as C, whether C90 or C99

Assumed-shape passes descriptor, like Algol 68 Bounds passed implicitly, can be checked

May not be contiguous, if section taken

# Assumed-Shape Example

```
SUBROUTINE fred (a, b, c)
DO j = LBOUND(a,2), UBOUND(a,1)
DO i = LBOUND(a,1), UBOUND(a,1)
a(i,j) = DOT_PRODUCT(b(i,:),c(:,j))
ENDDO
ENDDO
```

Reduces finger trouble when passing bounds

# Workspace (Automatic) Arrays

Size of local arrays set at run-time REAL :: array(<expression>)

Can remove great deal of messy code
 Including lots of workspace arguments

Space should be recovered on return
Often mixes badly with ALLOCATE,
array-valued functions, and similar
Details far beyond scope of this course

# Array Masking

Operations on selected elements of array Fortran 90 has WHERE assignment statement

Much simpler than conditionals in loop

On most systems, little gain in efficiency Real advantage is improvement in clarity

Watch out for unnecessary copying, again

# Simple Masking

```
INTEGER :: k(1000)

REAL :: a(1000)

DO i = 1,1000

IF (k(i) > 0) a(i) = SQRT(a(i))

ENDDO
```

#### **Becomes:**

WHERE (k > 0) a = SQRT(a)

# More Complex Masking

```
INTEGER :: k(1000)
REAL :: a(1000)
WHERE (k \le 0)
   A = -1.0
ELSEWHERE (a < 0.0)
    a = 0.0
ELSEWHERE
   a = SQRT(a)
ENDWHERE
```

### FORALL Statement

This is essentially multi-array masking Fortran 95/2003 included it, from HPF

Reliable source says slower than DO-loops Sometimes by orders of magnitude

So advice is don't use it in new code
 But don't bother to remove it from old code
 Unless analysis shows it is a bottleneck

# **Array-Valued Functions**

You can write your own array-valued functions
Just as for scalars in Fortran 77
Some subroutines cleaner as functions

Very commonly causes memory leaks
 This is a major implementation headache
 Details far beyond scope of this course

And unnecessary copying yet again . . .

### Remove Labels (1)

Dijkstra was right but misquoted, as usual Sometimes GOTOs can clarify control flow

< 1% of those needed in Fortran 66</p>

Can use for branch to error control block But consider using internal procedures See later about I/O exception handling

Tools can handle only the simplest cases
 Manual conversion easy but error-prone

### Remove Labels (2)

```
IF (...) GOTO 100
GOTO 200
100 ...
200 CONTINUE
IF (...) THEN
ELSE
ENDIF
```

### Remove Labels (3)

DO . . . ENDDO, EXIT, CYCLE, WHILE

Note that DO loops can now be labelled

```
outer: DO
inner: DO
IF (...) CYCLE outer
ENDDO inner
ENDDO outer
```

### Remove Labels (4)

#### SELECT, CASE and DEFAULT

Executes one block out of the selection

• Much the rarest control construct Following is more flexible:

```
IF (...) THEN
ELSEIF (...) THEN
ELSEIF (...) THEN
ELSE
ENDIF
```

### Remove Labels (5)

Use for FORMATs is cleaner, but unnecessary Allowing both " ' " and ' " ' is a great help!

Can replace by character string

```
WRITE (*,"('Error ',I0,' on ',I0)") IOSTAT, N
```

Or by calling an internal procedure

### I/O

This is a traditional weak point

Fortran 90 included significant upgrades

Fortran 2003 has many minor improvements

Still many unnecessary restrictions

- And most compilers are not Fortran 2003
- Most common problem is free-format input Localise any problem I/O and possibly call C

### I/O Errors

• ERR and END ⇒ IOSTAT or IOMSG Potentially provides more information anyway IOMSG is best, but only in Fortran 2003

I/O error handling is generally no better

- Format errors on reading still undefined But all compilers seem to set IOSTAT
- Generally not worth cleaning this up Except to remove use of labels

## **OPEN** and **INQUIRE**

Lots of minor improvements, few important

Opening file twice for input still illegal

ACTION='READ' or 'WRITE' or 'READWRITE'

Definitely use this, in all OPENs
 Can be critical in some circumstances

Can set defaults for most formatting modes

# Non-Advancing I/O (1)

Doesn't move to new record if more data Don't confuse it with C's streaming model Unfortunately has huge number of constraints

Not list-directed, not on internal files . . . Little use for free-format input or output

Can use to build out output records in parts Useful for prompting, but has problems

# Non-Advancing I/O (2)

Can use to read unknown length records But only as far as raw characters

CHARACTER(LEN=1) :: buffer(100) READ(ADVANCE='NO',EOR=last,SIZE=len)

Rest of record (if any) is read next time Unpick the buffer as an internal file

Generally, using PAD is easier – see later

# Free-Format Input

Still only list-directed I/O

Can now use with internal files!
 Still no way to tell how many items read
 And non-advancing I/O is not allowed

Can use to unpick buffers created as above Continue to set all values before reading

Not worth a conversion campaign

# Asynchronous I/O

New in Fortran 2003, and fairly clean

But not widely available, and won't be

Contact me for sordid details

POSIX makes a complete mess of this

Microsoft doesn't do much better

Right semantics for MPI non-blocking
 Hope for a decent MPI-3 binding to Fortran 90

#### Other I/O Enhancements

PAD= allows reading space-trimmed records
DELIM= for strings in list-directed I/O
SIGN= for whether you want '+' or not

Fortran 2003 output allows 'I0,F0.3' etc. Plus lots of slightly useful descriptors

Free-format output is now more-or-less OK

Fortran 2003 FLUSH statement – about time!

### **ANSI Control Characters**

First column of **SOME** formatted output units Absolutely no way of telling which ones

```
'' = next line, '0' = skip line, '1' = new page

'+' = overprint, sometimes also '2'-'7'

[ Latter were unreliable, like C '\r', '\f, '\v']
```

 Dropped in Fortran 2003 – no replacement Convert any code that uses old convention
 Probably no compilers still rely on it

#### Pure Procedures

No side-effects – usable in parallel Like computer science "strictly functional"

They don't write to global data or use SAVE All function arguments are INTENT(IN)
No external I/O or STOP statements
Some other constraints on pointers

- If you can, write functions like this Can declare as PURE or ELEMENTAL
- Not always feasible and hinders debugging

### Features To Avoid

Not officially deprecated
Mostly because of political objections
Many have a few justifiable uses
Most have been undesirable for decades

- Remove them if you possibly can
- Localise and document them if you can't Ask for advice if you have difficulty

# Implicit Main Program

The PROGRAM statement is optional You are recommended to add/use it Only to make your life easier

Especially if comments outside procedures Makes processing easier for simple tools E.g. checking for only one main program!

### **INCLUDE**

INCLUDE '<name>' - usually a filename
It replaces the line by the text
May be INCLUDE (<name>) in Fortran IV

Generally, replace by a module
 Rare cases where that doesn't make sense

Fortran 95 has optional preprocessor "Coco" Open source implementation, but few vendors

## Use of C Preprocessor

Very common, but a snare and a delusion

• C's rules VERY different from Fortran's Often if fred.F or joe.F90, vs fred.f or joe.f90

```
#include '<filename>'
#define <name> <expression>
#if (<expression>)
```

Consider whether you can get rid of this

## Impure Functions (1)

Have always been undefined behaviour But in a particular way before Fortran 90 Basically write-once / read-many rule

No guarantee that any function call is made

Situation is unclear in Fortran 90/95/2003 Some people say totally undefined (illegal) Others say same as ANSI Fortran 77 Avoid this extremely nasty mess if you can

# Impure Functions (3)

Safest use is for random numbers and similar Some local state is saved between calls

- Updating global state for experts only
- Reading updatable global state is as bad

Use separate module and file; avoid inlining

- Never export the local state as data
- Don't use twice in same statement

Includes use within another function call

# Impure Functions (4)

COMPLEX FUNCTION FRED (ARG) COMPLEX, SAVE :: COPY COPY = ARG FRED = ...

COMPLEX FUNCTION JOE (ARG) JOE = CONJG(FRED(ARG))

X = FRED(1.23) + JOE(4.56)

Is NOT allowed and may well not work

# Impure Functions (4)

Lots of other, fairly safe uses

Constraints same as for random numbers

Cache of common arguments and results Can keep trace buffer or update use count Can do I/O if careful (e.g. diagnostics)

Twice in same statement needs thread safety
 Possible safely, but neither easily nor portably

### EQUIVALENCE (1)

Used to overlap arrays to save space But, strangely, not on dummy arguments Non-trivial uses create horrible errors And can interfere with optimisation

Modern computers have lots of memory Consider ALLOCATABLE or POINTER

- Overlap arrays only when essential
- Use it very simply and very cleanly

### EQUIVALENCE (2)

Used to play bit twiddling tricks
E.g. to unpick floating-point formats
Undefined behaviour, and means it, too

- Common cause of portability problems
- Localise any such tricks in small modules
   Can sometimes replace by new functions
   Can compile them with no optimisation
   Or replace them by C or assembler

# Reshaping via Arguments

DOUBLE PRECISION X(10,20) CALL FRED(A(5,5))

SUBROUTINE FRED (A) DOUBLE PRECISION A(25)

Legal but ill-defined in Fortran 66
Dubiously illegal in Fortran 77
Well-defined in Fortran 90 and beyond

But should be avoided, anyway

# Other Storage Association

Can also be done via COMMON – see earlier

All methods can be used cleanly or revoltingly Equating different base types is worst form Get rid of that use, if at all possible

• Legal or safe use is fiendishly tricky Rules have changed over the years, too Interferes badly with optimisation

## Examples Of Bad Cases

REAL X(20)
INTEGER N(20)
EQUIVALENCE (X, N))

INTEGER N(4,10) CALL FRED (N)

• • •

SUBROUTINE FRED (A) DOUBLE PRECISION A(20)

#### Routine Structure

Before 1980s, calls were SLOW Almost no compiler inlined calls

Consider splitting up complex routines
 Repeated code can become internal procedure

Several features to avoid routine calls
Most are strongly deprecated or deleted
Main remaining one is ENTRY

#### ENTRY (1)

```
FUNCTION FRED (A, B)
```

- • •

**ENTRY JOE (N)** 

. . .

One procedure with several interfaces Yes, it's utterly horrible VERY hard to use correctly

Replace by separate, simple wrappers
 Different interfaces to a common auxiliary

#### ENTRY (2)

FUNCTION FRED (A, B)
CALL BERT (1, X, A, B, M, N)
FRED = X

FUNCTION JOE (N)
CALL BERT (2, X, A, B, M, N)
JOE = M

Much easier to understand and debug

### ENTRY (3)

You could do that using OPTIONAL args Definitely advanced use for experts only

- If you have to ask how, please don't try
   Even if you do, think twice before doing so
- The difficulty is intrinsic to the problem It is NOT caused by ENTRY syntax

## BACKSPACE, ENDFILE etc.

Correspond to long-dead filesystem models Fruitful source of traps on modern systems

- Replace BACKSPACE by internal files
- Replace ENDFILE by CLOSE or REWIND
   Or by redesigning I/O interface
- Don't use formatted, direct–access files
   Similar problems to ones for C lots!

#### Fortran 66/77 Relics

Obsolescent in Fortran 95/2003
You will definitely see many of these
They will still work but should not be used

Most can be covered fairly briefly
Almost all sane code is easy to modernise
But may be very tedious by hand
Use an automatic tool where possible

### Fixed Form Source (1)

```
Comments have 'C' in column 1
Labels in columns 1–5
Statement in columns 7–72 only
Columns 73-* ignored (for sequence numbers)
If column 6 not a space or '0':
    join columns 7–72 onto previous line
Spaces ignored and not needed (ex. Hollerith)
   G OTO12
0 1 2 CALLMY SUB(9 8)
   DO 10 I = 1.10
```

### Fixed Form Source (2)

You don't need to write such perverse code But details are complicated for newcomers Truncation at column 72 is a major trap And not all compilers did it . . .

Main surviving relic of punched cards

- Convert using f2f90 (or NAGWare)
   Or write your own Python/Perl converter
- By hand is very tedious and error-prone

#### Arithmetic IF

IF (<expression>) <label>,<label>,<label>
Branches to labels if negative, zero or positive

Useful, clean, but 'unstructured'

```
<temporary> = <expression>
IF (<temporary> .LT. 0) THEN
ELSEIF (<temporary> .EQ. 0) THEN
ELSE
ENDIF
```

Most compilers optimise use of <temporary>

## DO Loop Issues

DO 10 K = 1,10 DO 10 J = 1,10 10 CONTINUE

Convert to DO . . . ENDDO form

#### Alternate Return

```
CALL FRED (A, *<label>, *<label>)
or (in Fortran IV and derivatives):
CALL FRED (A, &<label>, &<label>)
RETURN <N> branches to the Nth label
```

Simplest to add an integer code as last argyment
 And use it in a CASE statement after the call

# Computed GOTO

GOTO (<label>, ...) <integer variable>
Just a GOTO form of the CASE statement

Replace by the CASE statement

To connoisseurs of the arcane and bizarre: Look up second-level definition in Fortran 66

#### **Statement Functions**

FRED (ARG) = 5.0\*ARG+2.0

IF in right place, and FRED not function/array

- Infernally hard to recognise in code
   Rather like a C #define in some ways
- Replace by an internal procedure
   Cleaner and much more flexible

See also Fortran 2003 ASSOCIATE

## **DATA Statement Ordering**

Could occur almost anywhere (like FORMAT)

Simple: just move them into declarations

Better: replace by PARAMETER or initialisers

Incidentally, tidying up FORMAT is good Put after READ/WRITE or at end Best to replace, as described earlier

# Assumed Length Character Functions

FUNCTION FRED (ARG) CHARACTER (LEN=\*) :: FRED

SC22/WG5 finally sees the light . . . Length taken from context – don't ask

Redesign any such function, totally
 Most character lengths should be constants
 Or result length copied from an argument

#### A Generic Character Function

```
FUNCTION FRED (ARG)
CHARACTER (LEN=*) :: ARG
CHARACTER (LEN=LEN(ARG)) :: FRED
FRED = ARG
END
```

Beyond that, little hope of optimisation Also can run risk of memory leaks

# CHARACTER\*<length> Declarations

CHARACTER\*80 CARDS(1000)
CHARACTER\*80 FUNCTION CARD (ARG)
and (in Fortran IV and derivatives):
CHARACTER FUNCTION CARD\*80 (ARG)

Use LEN= type parameter instead

I recommend avoiding even:

CHARACTER :: A\*10, B\*20